IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2151 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL (LDG.) NO.675 OF 2005
IN
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.1584 OF 2003
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.17 OF 1989
IN
DECREE DATED 18.3.1986
IN
AWARD NO.75 OF 1984

Dilip Anand & Anr. ....Appellants
V/s.
Pradeep Anand & Ors. ....Respondents

Mr.Snehal Shah i/b Narayanan & Narayanan for the Appellant.
Mr.R.J. Majra i/b Mr.M.G. Gawde for the Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN & S.J. VAZIFDAR, JJ.
DATED : 21ST APRIL, 2006.

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Appellant and the Respondents. Perused the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion. For the reasons stated in the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay of 62 days. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bona-fide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.)